

Iterative Methods



Chapter Objectives

 Understanding the difference between the <u>Gauss-Seidel and</u> <u>Jacobi methods</u>.

- Knowing how to assess diagonal dominance and knowing what it means.
- Recognizing how relaxation can be used to improve convergence of iterative methods.
- Understanding how to solve systems of <u>nonlinear equations</u> with successive substitution and Newton-Raphson.



Gauss-Seidel Method

- The Gauss-Seidel method is the most commonly used iterative method for solving linear algebraic equations [A]{x}={b}.
- For a 3x3 system with <u>nonzero elements along the diagonal</u>, for example, the jth iteration values are found from the j-1th <u>iteration</u> using:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad x_1^j = \frac{b_1 - a_{12} x_2^{j-1} - a_{13} x_3^{j-1}}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2^j = \frac{b_2 - a_{21} x_1^j - a_{23} x_3^{j-1}}{a_{22}}$$

$$a_{11}x_1 = b_1 - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3$$

$$a_{22}x_2 = b_2 - a_{21}x_1 - a_{23}x_3$$

$$a_{33}x_3 = b_3 - a_{31}x_1 - a_{32}x_2$$

$$x_3^j = \frac{b_3 - a_{31} x_1^j - a_{32} x_2^j}{a_{33}}$$
School of Mechanical Engineering

3

Chung-Ang University

Jacobi Iteration

 The Jacobi iteration is similar to the Gauss-Seidel method, except the j-1th information is used to update all variables in the jth iteration:





 The convergence of an iterative method can be calculated by determining the relative percent change of each element in {x}.
 For example, for the ith element in the jth iteration,

$$\varepsilon_{a,i} = \left| \frac{x_i^j - x_i^{j-1}}{x_i^j} \right| \times 100\%$$

 The method is ended when all elements have converged to a set tolerance.

Example 12.1

Q. Use the Gauss-Seidel Method to solve this set of equations.

 $3x_{1} - 0.1x_{2} - 0.2x_{3} = 7.85$ $0.1x_{1} + 7x_{2} - 0.3x_{3} = -19.3$ $0.3x_{1} - 0.2x_{2} + 10x_{3} = 71.4$ Note : True solution is $\{x\}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2.5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

Assume that x_2 and x_3 are zero in the first computation.

$$x_{1} = \frac{7.85 + 0.1x_{2} + 0.2x_{3}}{3} \qquad x_{2} = \frac{-19.3 - 0.1x_{1} + 0.3x_{3}}{7} \qquad x_{3} = \frac{71.4 - 0.3x_{1} + 0.2x_{2}}{10}$$
Numerical Methods

Example 12.1 (cont.)



1st iteration





2nd iteration

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{7.85 \pm 0.1(-2.794524) \pm 0.2(7.005610)}{3} = 2.990557 \\ x_2 &= \frac{-19.3 \pm 0.1(2.990557) \pm 0.3(7.005610)}{7} = -2.499625 \\ x_3 &= \frac{71.4 \pm 0.3(2.990557) \pm 0.2(-2.499625)}{10} = 7.000291 \\ \epsilon_{a,1} &= \left| \frac{2.990557 \pm 2.616667}{2.990557} \right| \times 100\% = 12.5\% \\ \epsilon_{a,2} &= 11.8\%; \qquad \epsilon_{a,3} = 0.076\%; \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Methods

Diagonal Dominance

- The <u>Gauss-Seidel method may diverge</u>, but <u>if the system is diagonally dominant</u>, it will <u>definitely converge</u>.
- Diagonal dominance means:

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} |a_{ij}|$$

Many engineering problems satisfy this requirement



MATLAB Program

MATLAB M-file: Gauss-Seidel

$$x_{1}^{\text{new}} = \frac{b_{1}}{a_{11}} - \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}} x_{2}^{\text{old}} - \frac{a_{13}}{a_{11}} x_{3}^{\text{old}}$$

$$x_{2}^{\text{new}} = \frac{b_{2}}{a_{22}} - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{22}} x_{1}^{\text{new}} - \frac{a_{23}}{a_{22}} x_{3}^{\text{old}}$$

$$x_{3}^{\text{new}} = \frac{b_{3}}{a_{33}} - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{33}} x_{1}^{\text{new}} - \frac{a_{32}}{a_{33}} x_{2}^{\text{new}}$$

 $\{x\} = \{d\} - [C]\{x\}$

$$\{d\} = \begin{cases} b_1 / a_{11} \\ b_2 / a_{22} \\ b_3 / a_{33} \end{cases} \qquad [C] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} / a_{11} & a_{13} / a_{11} \\ a_{21} / a_{22} & 0 & a_{23} / a_{22} \\ a_{31} / a_{33} & a_{32} / a_{33} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

10 CA

School of Mechanical Engineering Chung-Ang University

```
function x = GaussSeidel(A,b,es,maxit)
% GaussSeidel: Gauss Seidel method
   x = GaussSeidel(A,b): Gauss Seidel without relaxation
% input:
% A = coefficient matrix
% b = right hand side vector
% es = stop criterion (default = 0.00001%)
   maxit = max iterations (default = 50)
8
% output:
% x = solution vector
if nargin<2, error('at least 2 input arguments required'), end
if nargin<4 | isempty(maxit), maxit=50; end
if nargin<3 | isempty(es), es=0.00001; end
[m,n] = size(A);
if m~=n, error('Matrix A must be square'); end
C = A;
for i = 1:n
 C(i,i) = 0;
 x(i) = 0;
end
x = x';
for i = 1:n
 C(i,1:n) = C(i,1:n)/A(i,i);
end
for i = 1:n
 d(i) = b(i) / A(i,i);
end
iter = 0;
while (1)
 xold = x;
 for i = 1:n
   x(i) = d(i) - C(i, :) * x;
   if x(i) ~= 0
     ea(i) = abs((x(i) - xold(i))/x(i)) * 100;
   end
  end
 iter = iter+1;
 if max(ea) <= es | iter >= maxit, break, end
end
```

Relaxation

To <u>enhance convergence</u>, an iterative program can introduce <u>relaxation</u> where the <u>value at a particular iteration</u> is made up of a combination of the old value and the newly calculated value (update for the new one):

$$x_i^{\text{new}} = \lambda x_i^{\text{new}} + (1 - \lambda) x_i^{\text{old}}$$

where λ is a weighting factor that is assigned a value between 0 and 2.

- $0 < \lambda < 1$: underrelaxation
- λ =1: no relaxation
- $1 < \lambda \leq 2$: overrelaxation

Numerical Methods

Nonlinear Systems

- Nonlinear systems can also be solved using the <u>same strategy as the Gauss-Seidel</u> <u>method</u> - solve each system for one of the unknowns and update each unknown using information from the previous iteration.
- This is called <u>successive substitution</u>.

Example 12.2

Q. Use successive substitution to determine the roots of the following equation. A correct pair of roots is $x_1 = 2$ and $x_2 = 3$. Use the initial guesses of $x_1 = 1.5$ and $x_2 = 3.5$.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 &= 10 \\ x_2 + 3x_1 x_2^2 &= 57 \end{aligned} \qquad x_1 = \frac{10 - x_1^2}{x_2} \qquad x_2 = 57 - 3x_1 x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

First iteration

$$x_1 = \frac{10 - (1.5)^2}{3.5} = 2.21429 \qquad x_2 = 57 - 3(2.21429)(3.5)^2 = -24.37516$$

Second iteration

$$x_1 = \frac{10 - (2.21429)^2}{-24.37516} = -0.20910 \qquad x_2 = 57 - 3(-0.20910)(-24.37516)^2 = 429.709$$

Seems to be diverging

Numerical Methods

Use the same equation but with a different format

$$x_1 = \sqrt{10 - x_1 x_2} \qquad \qquad x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{57 - x_2}{3x_1}}$$

First iteration

$$x_1 = \sqrt{10 - 1.5(3.5)} = 2.17945$$
 $x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{57 - 3.5}{3(2.17945)}} = 2.86051$

Second iteration

$$x_1 = \sqrt{10 - 2.17945(2.86051)} = 1.94053$$
$$x_2 = \sqrt{\frac{57 - 2.86051}{3(1.94053)}} = 3.04955$$

The approach is converging on the true values.

→ The most serious shortcoming of substitution, which depends on the manner in which the equations are formulated.

Newton-Raphson

- Nonlinear systems may also be solved using the Newton-Raphson method for multiple variables.
- For a one-variable system, the Taylor series approximation and resulting Newton-Raphson equations are:

$$f(x_{i+1}) = f(x_i) + (x_{i+1} - x_i)f'(x_i) \qquad x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)}$$

For a two-variable system,

$$f_{1,i+1} = f_{1,i} + (x_{1,i+1} - x_{1,i})\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} + (x_{2,i+1} - x_{2,i})\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} \qquad x_{1,i+1} = x_{1,i} - \frac{f_{1,i}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - f_{2,i}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{1,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_1}}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{X}_2}}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2}\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2}}{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{2,i}}{\mathcal{J}_2}}}}$$

Numerical Methods

T

-

Chuna-Ana Universitv

$$f_{1,i+1} = f_{1,i} + (x_{1,i+1} - x_{1,i}) \frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_1} + (x_{2,i+1} - x_{2,i}) \frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_2}$$

$$f_{2,i+1} = f_{2,i} + (x_{1,i+1} - x_{1,i}) \frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_1} + (x_{2,i+1} - x_{2,i}) \frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_2}$$

$$[Z] \{x_{i+1}\} = -\{f\} + [Z] \{x_i\}, \text{ where } [Z] = Jacobian \ matrix$$

$$[Z] \{x_{i+1} - x_i\} = -\{f\}$$

$$[Z] \{x_{i+1} - x_i\} = -\{f\}$$

$$[Z] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{n,i}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_{n,i}}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{n,i}}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad \{x_i\}^T = [x_{1,i+1} \ x_{2,i+1} \ \cdots \]$$

$$\{f\}^T = [f_{1,i} \ f_{2,i} \ \cdots \]$$

Numerical Methods

Cramer's rule
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,i+1} = x_{1,i} - \frac{f_{1,i}\frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_2} - f_{2,i}\frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_2}}{Jocobian} \\ x_{2,i+1} = x_{2,i} - \frac{f_{2,i}\frac{\partial f_{1,i}}{\partial x_1} - f_{1,i}\frac{\partial f_{2,i}}{\partial x_1}}{Jocobian} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Jocobian = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{1,i}}{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_1} \frac{\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{2,i}}{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_2} - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{1,i}}{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_2} \frac{\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{2,i}}{\hat{\mathcal{X}}_1}$$

18 School of Mechanical Engineering Chung-Ang University

Example 12.3 (1/2)

Q. Use the Newton-Raphson method to determine the roots of the equations. Use the initial guesses of x1 = 1.5 and x2 = 3.5.

$$x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 = 10$$
$$x_2 + 3x_1 x_2^2 = 57$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{1,0}}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 + x_2 = 2(1.5) + 3.5 = 6.5 \qquad \frac{\partial f_{1,0}}{\partial x_2} = x_1 = 1.5$$
$$\frac{\partial f_{2,0}}{\partial x_1} = 3x_2^2 = 3(3.5)^2 = 36.75 \qquad \frac{\partial f_{2,0}}{\partial x_2} = 1 + 6x_1x_2 = 1 + 6(1.5)(3.5) = 32.5$$
$$Iacobian = 6.5(32.5) - 1.5(36.75) = 156.125$$

Jacobian = 6.5(32.5) - 1.5(36.75) = 156.125

Numerical Methods

Example 12.3 (2/2)

The values of the functions can be evaluated at the initial guesses as

$$f_{1,0} = (1.5)^2 + 1.5(3.5) - 10 = -2.5$$
$$f_{2,0} = 3.5 + 3(1.5)(3.5)^2 - 57 = 1.625$$

These values can be substituted to give

$$x_1 = 1.5 - \frac{-2.5(32.5) - 1.625(1.5)}{156.125} = 2.03603$$
$$x_2 = 3.5 - \frac{1.625(6.5) - (-2.5)(36.75)}{156.125} = 2.84388$$

The computation can be repeated until an acceptable accuracy is obtained.

Numerical Methods

MATLAB Program

```
function [x,f,ea,iter]=newtmult(func,x0,es,maxit,varargin)
% newtmult: Newton-Raphson root zeroes nonlinear systems
   [x,f,ea,iter]=newtmult(func,x0,es,maxit,p1,p2,...):
8
     uses the Newton-Raphson method to find the roots of
8
     a system of nonlinear equations
8
% input:
 func = name of function that returns f and J
8
% x0 = initial guess
% es = desired percent relative error (default = 0.0001%)
% maxit = maximum allowable iterations (default = 50)
8
 p1,p2,... = additional parameters used by function
% output:
  x = vector of roots
8
% f = vector of functions evaluated at roots
% ea = approximate percent relative error (%)
8
  iter = number of iterations
if nargin<2, error('at least 2 input arguments required'), end
if nargin<3 | isempty(es), es=0.0001; end
if nargin<4 | isempty(maxit), maxit=50; end
iter = 0;
x=x0;
while (1)
  [J,f]=func(x,varargin{:});
  dx=J f;
  x=x-dx;
  iter = iter + 1;
  ea=100 * max(abs(dx./x));
  if iter>=maxit|ea<=es, break, end
end
```

Numerical Me

ineering